

Rank-based M-Estimation for Tail Dependence and Independence

Michaël Lalancette¹

Joint work with Sebastian Engelke² and Stanislav Volgushev¹

¹Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Toronto

²Research Center for Statistics, University of Geneva

July 4, 2019



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO

- 1 Introduction: Bivariate tails and Asymptotic independence
- 2 Non-parametric estimation of c
- 3 Parametric estimation of c

- 1 Introduction: Bivariate tails and Asymptotic independence
- 2 Non-parametric estimation of c
- 3 Parametric estimation of c

- Estimation of a multivariate EVD is usually done in two steps
 - ① Estimate its margins
 - ② Estimate its dependence structure
- Strategies to estimate the extremal dependence structure depend on whether Asymptotic dependence on Asymptotic independence
- Our objective: Propose a unifying way to do so in both situations

- Suppose (X, Y) has a continuous bivariate CDF F with marginals F_1 and F_2
- Under regularity conditions in the tails (satisfied if F is in a MDA),

$$L(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \geq 1 - tx \text{ or } F_2(Y) \geq 1 - ty) \quad (1)$$

exists for every $(x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2$

- Equivalently, we may study the function

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y) &:= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \geq 1 - tx, F_2(Y) \geq 1 - ty) \quad (2) \\ &= x + y - L(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

- L is called *stable tail dependence function*, or simply the L -function ([Huang, 1992, de Haan and Ferreira, 2006])
- If F is in a MDA, L , R and the exponent measure are all equivalent

Asymptotic independence

- A popular approach to estimate the extremal dependence between X and Y is to assume eq. (1)/eq. (2) and estimate L or R
- Essentially, L allows an approximation of the probability of “at least one exceedance”, and R , **if it is not 0**, allows for an approximation of the probability of joint exceedances
- But it may be that $\mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \geq 1 - tx, F_2(Y) \geq 1 - ty) = o(t)$ for every $(x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2$, which makes $R(x, y) = 0$
- X and Y are then said to be *asymptotically independent* (AI). Otherwise, they are deemed *asymptotically dependent* (AD)
- Note that if $(X, Y) \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ for a bivariate EVD G , X and Y are AI iff the two components of G are independent

Another representation of the extremal dependence

- Instead of the function R , assume the existence of

$$c(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{q(t)} \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \geq 1 - tx, F_2(Y) \geq 1 - ty)$$

for a scaling function q that makes the limit non-zero

- Then there exists a *coefficient of tail dependence* $\eta \in (0, 1]$ such that
 - ④ q is $(1/\eta)$ -RV at 0
 - ② c is $(1/\eta)$ -homogeneous ($c(ax, ay) = a^{1/\eta}c(x, y)$)
- [Ledford and Tawn, 1997, Draisma et al., 2004]
- Essentially, q describes the strength of tail dependence and c describes the shape of the joint tail, **but they are not completely unrelated**
- Advantage: includes both AI ($q(t) = o(t)$) and *asymptotic dependence* (AD) ($q(t) \sim t$), but is not trivial in either case
- Note: For c to be unique, we assume $c(1, 1) = 1$

- 1 Introduction: Bivariate tails and Asymptotic independence
- 2 Non-parametric estimation of c
- 3 Parametric estimation of c

- Let $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ be independent copies of (X, Y)
- The definition of c suggests the “estimator”

$$\hat{c}_n(x, y) := \frac{1}{q(k/n)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1} \left\{ \hat{F}_1(X_i) \geq 1 - \frac{k}{n}x, \hat{F}_2(Y_i) \geq 1 - \frac{k}{n}y \right\},$$

where \hat{F}_j are the empirical CDF's

- This is a rank-based estimator (can be rewritten as a function of ranks)
- It appears in [Draisma et al., 2004]

Asymptotic normality of \hat{c}_n

- Assume that as $t \rightarrow 0$,

$$\frac{1}{q(t)} \mathbb{P}(F_1(X) \geq 1 - tx, F_2(Y) \geq 1 - ty) = c(x, y) + O(q_1(t))$$

uniformly over $\{(x, y) \in [0, \infty)^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$

- Assume that q is positive measurable, c is not everywhere 0 and $q_1(t) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log(1/t)}\right)$
- For an intermediate sequence k , define another sequence by $m = nq(k/n)$. Assume that $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $mq_1(k/n)^2 \rightarrow 0$

Theorem (L, Engelke and Volgushev (2019))

Then, there exist Gaussian processes $W^{(1)}$ and $W^{(2)}$ on $[0, \infty)^2$ such that

- 1 Under AI, $\sqrt{m}(\hat{c}_n - c) \rightsquigarrow W^{(1)}$ (in $\ell^\infty([0, T]^2)$).
- 2 Under AD, $\sqrt{m}(\hat{c}_n - c) \rightsquigarrow W^{(2)}$ (in the topo. of hypi-convergence for locally bounded functions ([Bücher et al., 2014])).

- Weak assumptions (no smoothness on c , bias bounded by a very slow term)
- Basically,

$$\sqrt{m}(\hat{c}_n(x, y) - c(x, y)) = \underbrace{\text{Something}}_{\rightsquigarrow W^{(1)}} + \sqrt{m}(c(\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n) - c(x, y)),$$

where \hat{x}_n and \hat{y}_n are based on the empirical quantiles of X and of Y

- “Something” is what one would obtain with known marginal distributions F_1, F_2 . It is a fairly standard empirical process
- The other term comes from the error in estimating the marginals
- Under AD, it converges to a non trivial limit
- Under AI, it disappears because convergence of \hat{x}_n and \hat{y}_n is faster than convergence of “Something” to $W^{(1)}$ (based on more data)

Example 1: Inverted max-stable distributions

Suppose that $(1/X, 1/Y)$ has a bivariate max-stable distribution, with L -function L . Then under a mild smoothness assumption on L , (X, Y) satisfies our assumptions, with

$$q(t) = t^{L(1,1)}, \quad c(x, y) = x^{\dot{L}_1(1,1)} y^{\dot{L}_2(1,1)}, \quad q_1(t) = \frac{1}{\log(1/t)}$$

Example 2: A random scale construction

Suppose $R \sim \text{Pareto}(\alpha)$, $W_j \sim \text{Pareto}(1)$, where R, W_1, W_2 are independent. Then $(X, Y) = R(W_1, W_2)$ satisfies our assumptions

Range of α	$q(t)$	$c(x, y)$	$q_1(t)$
$(0, 1)$	$K_\alpha t$	$(1 - r(\alpha))(x \wedge y) + r(\alpha)(x \wedge y)^{1/\alpha}(x \vee y)^{1-1/\alpha}$	$t^{1/\alpha-1}$
1	$\frac{K_\alpha t}{\log(1/t) + \log \log(1/t)}$	$(x \wedge y) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{x \vee y}{x \wedge y}\right)\right)$	$\frac{1}{\log(1/t)}$
$(1, 2)$	$K_\alpha t^\alpha$	$(x \wedge y)(x \vee y)^{\alpha-1}$	$t^{(\alpha-1) \wedge (2-\alpha)}$
2	$K_\alpha t^2 \log(1/t)$	xy	$\frac{1}{\log(1/t)}$
$(2, \infty)$	$K_\alpha t^2$	xy	$t^{\alpha-2}$

$$r(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left(1 - (2 - \alpha)(1 - \alpha)^{1/\alpha-1}\right) \in (0, 1)$$

Only thing to know: $\alpha < 1 \Rightarrow \text{AD}$ and $\alpha \geq 1 \Rightarrow \text{AI}$

- 1 Introduction: Bivariate tails and Asymptotic independence
- 2 Non-parametric estimation of c
- 3 Parametric estimation of c

Why a parametric estimator?

- Parametric models often allow for a nice interpretation
- The non-parametric estimator \hat{c}_n is not a proper function c
- More importantly, recall that \hat{c}_n depends on the unknown scaling function q (through $m = nq(k/n)$)
- The following parametric estimation procedure fixes this problem

The M-estimator we need

- Assume a parametric family of functions $\{c_\theta : \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^p\}$
- Idea: Choose θ as to minimize

$$\left\| \int_{[0, T]^2} g(x, y) c_\theta(x, y) dx dy - \int_{[0, T]^2} g(x, y) \hat{c}_n(x, y) dx dy \right\|,$$

where $g : [0, T]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ is a vector of arbitrary weight functions

- [Einmahl et al., 2012] proposed an identical approach to estimate the L -function, but recall that the L -function is not informative under AI
- Problem: \hat{c}_n can only be calculated up to the unknown scaling m
- Solution: Since

$$m\hat{c}_n(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1} \left\{ \hat{F}_1(X_i) \geq 1 - \frac{k}{n}x, \hat{F}_2(Y_i) \geq 1 - \frac{k}{n}y \right\}$$

can be calculated, simply multiply the second integral by m

- To adjust, multiply left integral by a new unknown parameter

- We obtain the following objective function:

$$\Psi_n(\theta, \sigma) := \left\| \left\| \sigma \int_{[0, T]^2} g(x, y) c_\theta(x, y) dx dy - m \int_{[0, T]^2} g(x, y) \hat{c}_n(x, y) dx dy \right\| \right\|$$

- By minimizing this objective function, we hope that c_θ will estimate c and σ will estimate m

Asymptotic normality of the M-estimator

- Suppose that the true function generating the data is c_{θ_0} , $\theta_0 \in \Theta$, and that the map

$$(\theta, \xi) \mapsto \xi \int_{[0, T]^2} g(x, y) c_{\theta}(x, y) dx dy$$

is continuously differentiable at $(\theta_0, 1)$ with full-rank Jacobian.

- Assume the setting of the previous theorem







Theorem (L, Engelke and Volgushev (2019))

Then if $(\hat{\theta}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n)$ is an estimator such that $\Psi_n(\hat{\theta}_n, \hat{\sigma}_n) = o_P(\sqrt{m})$,

$$\sqrt{m} \left(\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \frac{\hat{\sigma}_n}{m} \right) - (\theta_0, 1) \right) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \Sigma(\theta_0)).$$

- We use a higher order representation of the tail dependence that naturally encompasses AD and AI
- It generalizes the L and R functions
- We obtain asymptotically normal estimators of the shape of tail dependence (represented by c)
- Because c is homogeneous of order $1/\eta$, our estimator of c yields an estimator for η

Thank you!

-  Bücher, A., Segers, J., and Volgushev, S. (2014).
When Uniform Weak Convergence Fails: Empirical Processes for
Dependence Functions and Residuals via Epi- and Hypographs.
Ann. Stat., 42:1598–1634.
-  de Haan, L. and Ferreira, A. (2006).
Extreme Value Theory.
Springer.
-  Draisma, G., Drees, H., Ferreira, A., and de Haan, L. (2004).
Bivariate Tail Estimation: Dependence in Asymptotic Independence.
Bernoulli, 10:251–280.
-  Einmahl, J., Krajina, A., and Segers, J. (2012).
An M-Estimator for Tail Dependence in Arbitrary Dimensions.
Ann. Stat., 40:1764–1793.
-  Huang, X. (1992).
Statistics of Bivariate Extreme Value Theory.
PhD thesis, Erasmus University Rotterdam.
-  Ledford, A. and Tawn, J. (1997).
Modelling dependence within joint tail regions.
J. R. Stat. Soc. B, 59(2):475–499.